Application for United States Letters Patent

for

Crosstalk Equalization for Input-Output Driver Circuits

by

Bryan K. Casper

Prepared by:

Seth Z. Kalson
Intel Corporation
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95052-8119

Field

[0001]   Embodiments of the present invention relate to circuits, and more particularly, to crosstalk equalization for driver circuits.

Background

[0002]   Capacitive and inductive coupling between transmission lines may lead to electromagnetic signals propagating in one transmission line adversely affecting electromagnetic signals propagating in another transmission line. This is often referred to as crosstalk. Transmission lines are common in computer systems, where a chip (die) may communicate with another chip via transmission lines. For example, part of a computer system is shown in Fig. 1, where CPU (Central Processing Unit) **102** communicates with chipset **104** via front side bus **106**. Chipset **104** comprises a memory controller to communicate with memory via memory bus **110**, and has a port for communicating with graphics processor **112** via graphics bus **114**. Chipset **104** also comprises a bridge for communicating to other peripherals, such as network interface controller **116**, via system bus **118**. The busses in Fig. 1 may considered transmission lines.

[0003]   The crosstalk problem is illustrated in Fig. 2, where a pulse representing a logical "1" is transmitted by driver **202** on transmission line **204**, and driver **206** indicates a logical "0" by not transmitting a signal on transmission line **208**. (For simplicity, only one conductor for each transmission line is shown, but in practice there may be one or more planar conductors forming part of the transmission line structure.) The received pulses are depicted in Fig. 2, where pulse **210** depicts the received pulse on transmission line **204**, pulse **212** depicts the received pulse on transmission line **208**, and where t_1, t_2, and t_3 are three instances of time for which t_1 is the rise of pulse **210**, t_2 is the midpoint of pulse **210**, and t_3 is the fall of pulse **210**. Pulse **212** results from crosstalk, and is approximately the derivative of pulse **210**.

[0004]   If pulses **210** and **212** are aligned as shown in Fig. 2, and sampling occurs at t_2, then received pulse **212** on transmission line **208** is correctly interpreted as a logical "0". However, there may be timing jitter so that received pulse **212** may not be sampled at time t_2, or there may be electrical length mismatch among transmissions lines **204** and

208 so that the received pulses are not aligned as indicated in Fig. 2. Consequently, pulse **212** may be sampled so that it is interpreted incorrectly as a logical "1".

[0005]   A transmission line may take various physical forms, such as a stripline or a microstrip. A stripline may comprise a trace between two planar conductors, whereas a microstrip may comprise a trace adjacent to one planar conductor. Crosstalk may be less of a problem for a stripline than a microstrip. However, striplines are more costly than microstrips. Consequently, the problem of crosstalk may be a common problem in computer systems, especially as signaling rate increases.

Brief Description of the Drawings

[0006]   Fig. 1 is a high-level diagram of a computer system.

[0007]   Fig. 2 depicts crosstalk in transmission lines.

[0008]   Fig. 3 is an embodiment for crosstalk equalization according to an embodiment of the present invention.

[0009]   Fig. 4 is an embodiment of a fractionally-spaced, multi-level driver.

Description of Embodiments

[0010]   Embodiments of the present invention mitigate the effects of crosstalk by equalizing the transmitted pulses in a data dependent fashion. This equalization involves a mapping between data symbols and signals transmitted on a set of transmission lines. This mapping may be performed in a number of ways, e.g., by a table look-up or a finite state machine.

[0011]   An embodiment employing a table look-up procedure is depicted in Fig. 3. It is desired to transmit a set of n data symbols $d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ over n transmission lines **306**. The set of n data symbols $d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ is presented to table **302** as an address. If these data symbols are bits, which is the usual case, then the address space is 2^n. For each address, table **302** provides n words to n drivers **304**, one word to each driver. These n words may not all be distinct. A word provided to a driver comprises a set of parameters that are mapped by the driver to a signal. In Fig. 3, these n signals are denoted as $x_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Each of n receivers **308** makes a decision based upon a received signal on the transmission line to which it is connected so as to provide an estimate of a data symbol. The estimated data symbols are denoted by $\hat{d}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

[0012] The combination of table **302** and drivers may be viewed as mapping a set of data symbols $d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ to a set of transmit signals. This mapping is chosen such that the receivers provide the correct estimates $\hat{d}_i = d_i$ for all i in the ideal noiseless case. This mapping depends upon the transmission characteristics of the transmission lines, taking into account any capacitive and inductive coupling between the transmission lines, and also depends upon the type of receiver **308** chosen.

[0013] To provide an example for choosing a mapping, notation is first introduced. Suppose the receivers have been designed such that a received signal having the Fourier transform $D_i(f)$ is decoded into the estimate $\hat{d}_i = d_i$. For example, $D_i(f)$ may be Gaussian shaped for a logical "1" and zero for a logical "0", and the receiver may be an integrating receiver so that a logical "1" is declared if the magnitude of the integrated output is greater than some threshold and a logical "0" is declared otherwise. For later convenience, let $D(f)$ denote the n dimensional column vector with components $[D(f)]_i = D_i(f), i = 1, \ldots, n$.

[0014] Let $l(i)$ denote the i$^{\text{th}}$ transmission line. Denote the Fourier transform of the signal as it is transmitted by a driver on transmission line $l(i)$ as $X_i(f), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and denote the Fourier transform of the signal as it is received by a receiver on transmission line $l(i)$ as $Y_i(f), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Let $X(f)$ denote an n dimensional column vector with components $[X(f)]_i = X_i(f)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and let $Y(f)$ denote an n dimensional column vector with components $[Y(f)]_i = Y_i(f)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

[0015] Suppose the input-output relationship of the transmission lines is linear and time-invariant so that it may be accurately modeled as $Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$, where $H(f)$ is a full rank n by n matrix. Then the mapping whereby the set of data symbols $d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ is mapped into the n dimensional column vector of transmit signals having Fourier transform vector $X(f)$ given by $X(f) = H(f)^{-1}D(f)$ provides the proper data-dependent equalization such that the receivers obtain the correct estimates of the transmitted data symbols.

[0016]   Whether or not the set of transmission lines has a linear, time-invariant transfer function matrix $H(f)$, the mapping from data symbols to transmitted signals may be obtained by analysis or measurement. The above model merely serves to show a method for providing the mapping. If the transfer function matrix $H(f)$ is an accurate model, it may be found experimentally by transmitting impulses and measuring the frequency spectrum of the received signals. For example, the component $[H(f)]_{i,j}$ of the transfer function matrix is the Fourier transform of the impulse response of transmission line $l(i)$ when an impulse is transmitted on transmission line $l(j)$. Consequently, a spectrum analyzer applied to each receiving end of the transmission lines when an impulse is transmitted on transmission line $l(i)$ provides the jth column of $H(f)$.

[0017]   In practice, the space of possible transmitted signals is finite, so that even if the set of transmission lines has the linear, time-invariant transfer function matrix $H(f)$, only an approximation to $H(f)^{-1}D(f)$ is actually synthesized. This synthesis may be realized by fractionally-spaced (in the time domain) drivers having multiple voltage levels. One such embodiment of a fractionally-spaced, multi-level driver is given in Fig. 4.

[0018]   The driver of Fig. 4 comprises a plurality of differential pMOSFET pairs, where the gate voltages are derived from the word provided by table **302** to determine the particular signal transmitted by the driver. In Fig. 4, the gate voltages are denoted by $b_i(j)$ and its complex conjugate $\overline{b}_i(j)$, where the index i refers to the differential pair and the index j is a time index. For example, the differential pair comprising pMOSFETs **402A** and **402B** has, respectively, the gate voltages $b_1(j)$ and its complex conjugate $\overline{b}_1(j)$. In the embodiment of Fig. 4, there are m differential pairs, each differential pair i with a current source to provide bias current I_i. For example, the first differential pair comprising pMOSFETs **402A** and **402B** has current source **404** to provide a bias current I_1.

[0019]   Without loss of generality, the current sources may be chosen such that $I_i < I_{i+1}$. Loads **406A** and **406B** represent the loading due to transmission lines. That is, nodes **408A** and **408B** are connected to transmission lines for the case of differential

signaling. If single-ended signaling is employed, then only one node, say node **408B**, is connected to a transmission line. The range of index j determines the number of discrete values a particular signal assumes over its period, and may be considered the number of fractional time intervals in a period. For example, if j runs from 1 to k, then the signal provided at node **408B**, and perhaps node **408A** if differential signaling is employed, takes on k discrete values over its period. Letting T denote the period of the transmitted signal, for the fractional period of time $[(j-1)(T/k), j(T/k)]$ where $1 \leq j \leq k$, the gate voltages are $b_i(j), i = 1,...,m$, and also $\bar{b}_i(j), i = 1,...,m$ if differential signaling is employed. The word provided by table **302** for the embodiment of Fig. 4 is of dimension mk. The space of possible signals synthesize by the driver of Fig. 4 is determined by the choice of bias currents, the number of differential pairs, the number of fractional intervals of the period, and the particular choice of the gate voltages. These parameters may be chosen to approximate the desired transmit signal, for example, a transmit signal with Fourier transform $H(f)^{-1}D(f)$.

[0020]   Various modifications may be made to the disclosed embodiments without departing from the scope of the invention as claimed below. For example, for some embodiments, a finite state machine may be employed instead of a look-up table to provide the words provided to the drivers.